

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/821,913	SATO ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
DAVID M. SCHINDLER	2858	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) DAVID M. SCHINDLER. (3) _____.

(2) Michael Scheer. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 September 2010

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

3, 5, and 19

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: An interview was held in an attempt to put the claims in condition for allowance. Proposed amendments were submitted by Mr. Sheer. A reference later came to the attention of the Examiner who left a message for Mr. Sheer after 9/28/2010 in an attempt to discuss the claims in view of the reference. The reference can be found in the current rejection fo the claims.